The Crystal Structures of the Germanium-Arsenic Compounds. I. Germanium Diarsenide, GeAs.

BY JOHN H. BRYDEN*

Semiconductor Materials Department, Hughes Research Laboratories, Newport Beach, California, U.S.A.

(Received 24 *January* 1961)

The crystal structure of GeAs, has been determined from Patterson projections and by direct methods. The orthorhombic unit cell has the dimensions

 $a_0=14.76$, $b_0=10.16$, $c_0=3.728$ Å.

The space group is *Pbam*, and there are eight formula units of GeAs₂ per unit cell. Each Ge atom is bonded tetrahedrally to four As atoms, and each As atom forms three short bonds to Ge or As atoms and three long bonds to As atoms in a distorted octahedral arrangement. Viewed along the c-axis, groups of two Ge and three As atoms form columns of irregular puckered pentagons which are bonded together through a fourth As atom into layers parallel to (100). The long As-As bonds are, with one exception, between these layers.

Introduction

The phase diagram of the germanium-arsenic system (Stöhr & Klemm, 1940) shows two compounds, GeAs (m.p. 737 °C.) and GeAs₂ (m.p. 732 °C.). Schubert, Dörre & Günzel (1954) have reported GeAs to be monoclinic and GeAs₂ to be orthorhombic. Interest in the nature of the chemical bonding in these compounds and their properties as possible semiconducting materials has prompted the preparation of samples and the investigation of their crystal structures. The crystal structure of $GeAs₂$ is described here.

Experimental

Crystals of $GeAs₂$ were grown by heating germanium with a slight stoichiometric excess of arsenic in an evacuated sealed quartz tube to 800 °C., maintaining this temperature for about one hour, and then cooling slowly. A crystalline mass was obtained from which small individual crystals could be separated. The crystals are acicular with a grey metallic appearance and have well-developed, highly reflective faces. They are soft and bend easily. A perfect cleavage occurs parallel to the length of the crystals.

From rotation and equi-inclination Weissenberg photographs taken about the long dimension of the crystal, the following unit cell dimensions were obtained (λ of Cu $K_{\alpha} = 1.5418$ Å):

 $a_0 = 14.76 \pm 0.03$, $b_0 = 10.16 \pm 0.03$, $c_0 = 3.728 \pm 0.008$ Å.

These values agree well with those reported by Schubert *et al.* (1954). The observed density reported by Stöhr & Klemm (1940) is 5.39 g.cm.⁻³, giving eight

formula units of $GeAs₂$ per unit cell. The calculated density is 5.284 g.cm.⁻³.

Measurements of crystals on an optical goniometer showed that the important prism forms are {100} and {210), with {110) occurring occasionally. The usual shape of the crystals is that of laths elongated in the $[001]$ direction and having (100) as the prominent face. The perfect cleavage is parallel to this face.

The space group extinctions observed were: *hkl* present in all orders; $h0l$ present only with $h=2n$; and *Okl* present only with $k=2n$. These extinctions are permitted by the space groups C_{2v}^8 -Pba2 and *D~h-Pbam.* A careful comparison of the *hkO* and *hk2* Weissenberg photographs showed no significant difference between the intensities of corresponding reflections on the two photographs. The space group *Pbam* was therefore assumed.

The intensity data for the structure determination were measured visually from multiple-film equiinclination Weissenberg photographs of the *hkO* and *hkl* reflections. There were 188 *hkO* and 182 *hkl* reflections observed. The *hkl* reflections were corrected for spot extension by the equation given by Phillips (1954) . As the linear absorption coefficient of $GeAs₂$ for Cu $K\alpha$ radiation is 392 cm.⁻¹, it was necessary to correct the observed intensities for absorption. The crystal used to obtain the intensity data approximated a hexagon in cross section. The smallest dimension was 0.096 mm. between parallel (100) faces, and the largest dimension was 0.157 mm. between the intersections of pairs of parallel (110) and $(\overline{1}10)$ faces. A somewhat idealized cross section of the crystal was divided into 40 equal areas, and the transmission factors were then calculated for the *hkO* and *hkl* reflections by the method described by Henshaw (1958).

^{*} **Present address:** Chemistry Department, Orange County **State** College, Fullerton, California, U.S.A.

 $As.$ As

 Ge Ge.

Ge.

Table 1. Final parameters

Determination of the structure

Since the expected interatomic distances in GeAs₂ are all about $2\overline{4}$ Å or greater, the short c axis should insure that all atoms will project on (001) without any overlapping. In addition, if all atoms are in the mirror planes of *Pbam,* the hk0 data and the hkl data will provide two independent structure determinations.

The mirror positions of this space group are fourfold, requiring the location of two germanium atoms and four arsenic atoms. There are two independent sets of equivalent mirror positions:

$$
x, y, 0; \overline{x}, \overline{y}, 0; \frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 0; \frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 0; x, y, \frac{1}{2}; \overline{x}, \overline{y}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2}.
$$

Fig. 1. Electron density projections for germanium diarsenide: (a) the $hk0$ Fourier projection, and (b) the $hk1$ generalized Fourier projection. The zero contour is dotted, and the negative contours are broken. The contour interval is arbitrary.

As an *hO1* precession photograph showed only even orders of 001, it was assumed that one germanium and two arsenic atoms occupied positions in each set. This was subsequently verified.

After correction of the intensities for absorption and the Lorentz and polarization factors, three Patterson projections were calculated: the first was calculated with the hk0 data only; the other two, combining both hk0 and hk1 data, were composite Patterson projections. The second was calculated with terms of the type $|F(hk0)|^2+|F(hk1)|^2$, and shows the interatomic vectors between atoms in the same mirror plane. The third was calculated with terms of the type $|F(hk0)|^2 - |F(hk1)|^2$, and shows the interatomic vectors between atoms in adjacent mirror planes. Little useful information was immediately obtainable from the first Patterson projection; however, it was possible

Table 2. *Interatomic distances and angles in germanium diarsenide*

Table 3. Observed and calculated structure amplitudes for germanium diarsenide

Indices preceded by an asterisk were omitted from the calculation of the percent discrepancy

to definitely locate three atoms in one mirror plane (the atoms at $z=0$ in Table 1) from the second. It was not possible to distinguish between germanium and arsenic atoms or to locate any atoms in the mirror planes at $z = \frac{1}{2}$. No additional information was obtainable from the third Patterson projection.

The major problem in the interpretation of these vector maps was recognizing the correct structure

Ÿ,

when it was found, even when parts of it were known. Attempts to derive the structure by model building, assuming tetrahedral tetracovalent germanium and pyramidal tricovalent arsenic, had shown that many possibilities exist. In an attempt to minimize the difficulty of recognition, direct methods were tried. Harker-Kasper inequalities were applied as described by Grison (1951) and Lavine (1952). After placing the

hkO data on an absolute scale by the method of Wilson (1942) and calculating the unitary structure factors, it was found possible to derive 50 relations among **the** phases of the reflections. From these relations the phases of 30 reflections were obtained, 16 of which depended on the unknown phase of one reflection, (810). Two electron density projections were calculated with these data corresponding to the two possible phases of (810). Neither of these projections could be immediately interpreted in terms of a reasonable structure because of several extra peaks that were present. As an aid in deciding between the two Fourier projections, the minimum function of Buerger (1951, 1959) was applied to the *hkO* Patterson projection. The three atoms previously located were used as the starting point with the vectors between pairs of atoms related by the nearest two-fold axis defining the minimum functions. The resulting minimum function map showed a pronounced resemblance to the 30-term Fourier projection for which the sign of $F(810)$ was negative. Knowing the positions of three atoms on this projection permitted the parameters of the remaining three atoms to be determined. The phases of two weak reflections used in this Fourier projection were found to be wrong in the final calculations.

These parameters were refined by Fourier methods, using the *hkO* and *hkl* data independently. The final *hkO* and *hkl* projections are shown in Fig. 1. The final parameters obtained from the *hkO* and *hkl* data after correction for series-termination errors by **the** back-shift method of Booth (1946) are listed in Table 1. The standard deviations of the atomic coordinates were calculated by the method of Cruickshank (1949). The unweighted average of the two sets of parameters were used to calculate the interatomic distances and angles that are listed in Table 2. The observed and calculated structure amplitudes are given in Table 3. The scattering factors for these calculations were obtained by the analytical expression proposed by Vand, Eiland & Pepinsky (1957), using the constants given by them. The percentage discrepancy was 14.5 for the *hkO* data and 16.5 for the *hkl* data. An isotropic temperature correction, $\exp[-B(\sin \theta/\lambda)^2]$, with $B =$ 0.21 \AA ², was used in the final calculations. The quantitative agreement between the larger observed and calculated structure amplitudes is not as good as is usually obtained, although the agreement for the smaller values is generally good. In particular, **the** agreement for (121), the most intense reflection from this crystal as observed on a Debye-Seherrer powder

Fig. 2. Schematic drawing of the crystal structure of germanium diarsenide. The light circles are atoms at $z=0$, and the heavy circles are atoms at $z=\frac{1}{2}$. The shaded circles are the arsenic atoms. The solid lines represent normal covalent bonds, and the broken lines **represent the** weak inter-arsenic bonds. One unit cell is outlined.

photograph, is very poor. This is largely due to the difficulty of making accurate visual estimates of photographic intensities from a highly absorbing crystal. Extinction has undoubtedly also affected some of the more intense reflections.

Discussion

Fig. 2 is a schematic drawing of the crystal structure showing the numbering of the atoms. The identification of the germanium and arsenic atoms was made by consideration of the number of covalent bonds formed by each atom. From Table 2, the list of interatomic distances and angles, it is seen that the germanium atoms form four approximately tetrahedral covalent bonds to arsenic atoms, and the arsenic atoms form three pyramidal covalent bonds to germanium or arsenic atoms. The germanium-arsenic bond lengths range from 2.42 to 2.51 Å, with an average of 2.453 A. This is not significantly different from the value of 2.43 Å calculated from Pauling's covalent bond radii (Pauling, 1960). The single arsenicarsenic bond length is 2.50 Å, about the same as is found in metallic arsenic.

When viewed along the c axis, two germanium and three arsenic atoms make an irregular puckered pentagon. The bonds between Ge_2 and As_1 and between $As₂$ and $As₃$ form these pentagons into columns parallel to the c axis. The fourth arsenic atom then binds these columns together into layers parallel to (100).

In addition to three close neighbors, each arsenic atom has three more-distant arsenic neighbors arranged so that the coordination is roughly octahedral. These longer interatomic distances range from 3.16

to 3.89 Å. The shortest distance is about the same as found in metallic arsenic. The forces between these layers in GeAs₂ must therefore be considerably weaker than the forces between the layers in metallic arsenic. This is borne out by the great ease with which crystals of GeAs2 may be cleaved parallel to (100). Four of these longer distances are between layers; the fifth, between As_1 and As_3' , is within the layers.

I wish to thank Mrs Mary Frances Harvey for her assistance in making many of the calculations, particularly the absorption corrections, Mr H. I. Fleischer of the Computing Services Section for his help in seeing the numerous crystallographic calculations through the IBM 709 computer, and Dr Richard E. Marsh of the California Institute of Technology for preparing a precession photograph of a GeAs₂ crystal.

References

- BOOTH, A.]). (1946). *Proe. Roy. Soc.* A, 188, 77.
- BUERGER, M. J. (1951). *Acta Cryst.* 4, 531.
- BUERGER, M. J. (1959). *Vector Space*. New York: Wiley.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1949). *Acta Cryst.* 2, 65.
- GRISON, E. (1951). *Acta Cryst.* 4, 489.
- HENSHAW, D. W. (1958). *Acta Cryst.* **11**, 302.
- LAw~E, L. R. (1952). *Acta Cryst.* 5, 846.
- PAULING, L. (1960). The Nature of the Chemical Bond, Third Edition, Ithaca, N.Y. : Cornell University Press. PHILLIPS, D. C. (1954). *Acta Cryst.* 7, 746.
- SCHUBERT, K., DÖRRE, E. & GÜNZEL, E. (1954). *Natur*-
- *wiss.* 41, 448.
- STÖHR, H. & KLEMM, W. (1940). Z. Anorg. Chem. 244, 205.
- VAND, V., EILAND, P. G. & PEPINSKY, R. (1957). *Acta Cryst.* 10, 303.
- WILSON, A. J. C. (1942). *Nature, Lond.* 150, 152.

Short Communication

Contributionz intended for publication under this heading should be expressly so marked; they should not exceed about 1000 *words; they should be forwarded in the usual way to the appropriate Co-editor; they will be published as speedily as possible. Publication will be quicker if the contributions are without illustrations.*

Acta Cryst. (1961). 15, 171

The crystal **structure of biuret** hydrate. A **correction.** By E. W. HUGEES and H. L. YAXEL, *California Institute of Technology, Pasadena 4, California, U.S.A.* and I-I. C. FREEMAN, *University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia (Received* 26 *September* 1961)

The paper of the above title (Hughes, Yakel & Freeman, **Reference** Reference
1961) bears an erroneous date of reception. It was Hughes, E.W., YAKEL, H. L. & FREEMAN, H. C. (1961). 1961) bears an erroneous date of reception. It was received 15 June 1959, and not, as stated, 2 July 1960. *Acta Cryst.* 14, 345.